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## Directed Graphical Models
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## Brain \& Brawn
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## Brain \& Brawn

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\text { brain }) & =0.1 \\
p(\text { sports }) & =0.2
\end{aligned}
$$


$p(s, b)=p(s) p(b)$

$p(g, s, b)=p(g \mid s, b) p(s) p(b)$

## Brain \& Brawn

## element-wise multiply

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\text { brain }) & =0.1 \\
p(\text { sports }) & =0.2
\end{aligned}
$$


$p(s, b \mid g)=\frac{p(s) p(b) p(g \mid s, b)}{\sum_{s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} p\left(s^{\prime}\right) p\left(b^{\prime}\right) p\left(g \mid s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)} p(g, s, b)=p(g \mid s, b) p(s) p(b)$
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## Brain \& Brawn

## renormalize to 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\text { brain }) & =0.1 \\
p(\text { sports }) & =0.2
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
p(s, b \mid g)=\frac{p(s) p(b) p(g \mid s, b)}{\sum_{s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} p\left(s^{\prime}\right) p\left(b^{\prime}\right) p\left(g \mid s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)} p(g, s, b)=p(g \mid s, b) p(s) p(b)
$$
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## Brain \& Brawn

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(\text { brain }) & =0.1 \\
p(\text { sports }) & =0.2
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Brain \& Brawn



# ... some Web 2.0 service 
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## ... some Web 2.0 service



- Joint distribution (assume a and $m$ are independent)
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## some Web 2.0 service



- Joint distribution (assume a and m are independent)

$$
p(m, a, w)=p(w \mid m, a) p(m) p(a)
$$

- Explaining away

$$
p(m, a \mid w)=\frac{p(w \mid m, a) p(m) p(a)}{\sum_{m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}} p\left(w \mid m^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right) p\left(m^{\prime}\right) p\left(a^{\prime}\right)}
$$

a and m are dependent conditioned on w

# ... some Web 2.0 service 
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# ... <br> some Web 2.0 service 



MySQL is working Apache is working
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## ... some Web 2.0 service

## Directed graphical model



- Easier estimation
- 15 parameters for full joint distribution
- 1+1+4+1 for factorizing distribution
- Causal relations
- Inference for unobserved variables


## No loops allowed
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## No loops allowed
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## No loops allowed
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## No loops allowed
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## No loops allowed
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## No loops allowed
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## No loops allowed
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## Directed Graphical Model

- Probability distribution
- Iterate over childrenlparents


$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x)= & p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{3} \mid x_{2}\right) \\
& p\left(x_{4} \mid x_{3}, x_{7}\right) p\left(x_{5} \mid x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{6}\right) \\
& p\left(x_{6} \mid x_{9}\right) p\left(x_{7} \mid x_{6}\right) p\left(x_{8} \mid x_{5}\right) p\left(x_{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Directed Graphical Model

- Joint probability distribution

$$
p(x)=\prod_{i} p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{\text {parents(i) }}\right)
$$

- Parameter estimation
- If $x$ is fully observed the likelihood breaks up

$$
\log p(x \mid \theta)=\sum_{i} \log p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{\text {parents }(\mathrm{i})}, \theta\right)
$$

- If x is partially observed things get interesting maximization, EM, variational, sampling ...
- If we don't know the structure ...
- Directed graphical models

$$
p(x)=\prod_{i} p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{\text {parents(i) })}\right)
$$

- Explaining away

Independent variables become dependent conditioned on a joint child.

- Observing yields independence

Observed parent makes children independent

- No loops in graph allowed




## 1 Chain

- Joint distribution

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(a) p(b \mid a) p(c \mid b)
$$

- Conditioning on b

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(a, c \mid b) & =\frac{p(a) p(b \mid a) p(c \mid b)}{\sum_{a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}} p\left(a^{\prime}\right) p\left(b \mid a^{\prime}\right) p\left(c^{\prime} \mid b\right)} \\
& =\frac{p(a) p(b \mid a)}{\sum_{a^{\prime}} p\left(a^{\prime}\right) p\left(b \mid a^{\prime}\right)} \frac{p(c \mid b)}{\sum_{c^{\prime}} p\left(c^{\prime} \mid b\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Conditional independence

$$
a \perp c \mid b
$$

## 2 Common Cause

- Joint distribution

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(a \mid b) p(b) p(c \mid b)
$$

- a and $c$ are dependent

$$
p(a, c)=\sum_{b} p(a \mid b) p(b) p(c \mid b)
$$

- Conditioning on b creates independence

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(a, c \mid b)=p(a \mid b) p(c \mid b) \\
a \perp c \mid b
\end{gathered}
$$



## 3 Explaining Away

- Joint distribution

$$
p(a, b, c)=p(a) p(b \mid a, c) p(c)
$$

- a and $c$ are independent
- Conditioning on b creates dependence

$$
p(a, c \mid b)=\frac{p(a) p(b \mid a, c) p(c)}{\sum_{a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}} p\left(a^{\prime}\right) p\left(b \mid a^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right) p\left(c^{\prime}\right)}
$$



## d-Separation

- Given general directed acyclic graph (DAG)
- Determine whether sets $A, B$ of random variables are conditionally independent given $C$
- Simple algorithm - reachability
- Start in in vertex of A
- Check whether any vertex in B can be reached
- If separated, we have conditional independence


## Transition rules



(a)

(a)

(b)

Y

(b)

(b)

(a)

(a)

(b)

(b)

Courtesy of Sam Roweis
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## Transition rules

$$
\mathbf{x}_{2} \perp \mathbf{x}_{3} \mid\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{6}\right\} \quad ?
$$
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## Transition rules

$$
\mathbf{x}_{2} \perp \mathbf{x}_{3} \mid\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{6}\right\} \quad ?
$$



## Summary

- Dependent random variables
- Observing can make things dependent or independent
- Conditional independence simplifies model
- Bayes ball to check properties
- Chains (observing stops dependence)
- Common causes (observing stops dependence)
- Common children (observing creates dependence)


## Structures
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## Plates: FOR loops for statisticians

- Repeated dependency structure
- Modeling iid observations

- Supervised learning


$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(X, Y, \theta, w) \\
= & p(\theta) p(w) \prod_{i} p\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right) p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}, w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Plates: FOR loops for statisticians

- Repeated dependency structure
- Modeling iid observations

- Supervised learning


$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(X, Y, \theta, w) \\
= & p(\theta) p(w) \prod_{i} p\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right) p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}, w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chains

## Markov Chain



## Chains

## Markov Chain



Plate


## Chains

## Markov Chain

## Plate



Hidden Markov Chain
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## Chains

## Markov Chain

Plate


Hidden Markov Chain

user model for traversal through search results
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## Chains

## Markov Chain

## Plate



Hidden Markov Chain

user model for traversal through search results
Carnegie Mellon University

## Chains

## Markov Chain

## Plate

$$
p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$



Hidden Markov Chain

$$
p(x, y ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{\substack{\text { user’s } \\ \text { mindset }}} p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}\right)
$$



## Factor Graphs



## Latent Factors

## Observed

Effects

## Factor Graphs



- Observed effects

Click behavior, queries, watched news, emails

## Factor Graphs



## Latent Factors

Observed
Effects

- Observed effects

Click behavior, queries, watched news, emails

- Latent factors

User profile, news content, hot keywords, social connectivity graph, events

## Example - PCA/ICA



## Example - PCA/ICA



## Latent Factors

Observed
Effects

- Observed effects

Click behavior, queries, watched news, emails

$$
x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i} v_{i}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{1}\right) \text { and } p(y)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} p\left(y_{i}\right)
$$

## Example - PCA/ICA
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## Latent Factors

Observed
Effects

- Observed effects

Click behavior, queries, watched news, emails

$$
x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i} v_{i}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{1}\right) \text { and } p(y)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} p\left(y_{i}\right)
$$

## Example - PCA/ICA



## Latent Factors

Observed
Effects

- Observed effects

Click behavior, queries, watched news, emails

$$
x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i} v_{i}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{1}\right) \text { and } p(y)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} p\left(y_{i}\right)
$$

- $p(y)$ is Gaussian for PCA. General for ICA


## Cocktail party problem



Sources


Separated
Sources
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## Recommender Systems
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## Recommender Systems



- Users u
- Movies m
- Ratings r (but only for a subset of users)
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## Recommender Systems



- Users u
- Movies m
- Ratings r (but only for a subset of users)


## Recommender Systems

news,<br>SearchMonkey answers<br>social<br>ranking OMG<br>personals



- Users u
- Movies m
- Ratings $r$ (but only for a subset of users)


## Challenges

## engineering

## machine learning

Carnegie Mellon University

## Challenges

- How to design models
- Common (engineering) sense
- Computational tractability


## engineering

machine learning

## Challenges

- How to design models
- Common (engineering) sense
- Computational tractability
- Dependency analysis


## engineering

machine learning

## Challenges

- How to design models
- Common (engineering) sense
- Computational tractability
- Dependency analysis


## engineering

- Inference
- Easy for fully observed situations
- Many algorithms if not fully observed
- Dynamic programming / message passing


## Summary

- Repeated structure - encode with plate
- Chains, bipartite graphs, etc (more later)
- Plates can intersect
- Not all variables are observed
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# 7.2 Dynamic Programming 7 Graphical Models 

## Alexander Smola

Introduction to Machine Learning 10-701 http://alex.smola.org/teaching/10-701-15


## Chains

$$
p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$



## Transition Matrices x0

x0 \begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline 0 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline 1 \& 0.6 <br>
\hline

$\quad$

\hline 0 \& 0.2 \& 0.1 <br>
\hline 1 \& 0.8 \& 0.9 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



|  | x 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Unraveling the chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{x_{0}} p\left(x_{1} \mid x_{0}\right) p\left(x_{0}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \pi_{1}=\Pi_{0 \rightarrow 1} \pi_{0} \\
& p\left(x_{2}\right)=\sum_{x_{1}} p\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{1}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \pi_{2}=\Pi_{1 \rightarrow 2} \pi_{1}=\Pi_{1 \rightarrow 2} \Pi_{0 \rightarrow 1} \pi_{0} \\
& \text { Carnegie } \mathbf{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chains

$$
p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$



- Transition matrices

$x 0=[0.4 ; 0.6]$;
Pi1 = [0.2 0.1; 0.8 0.9];
Pi2 $=$ [0.8 0.5; 0.2 0.5];
Pi3 = [0 1; 1 0];
$x 3=P i 3$ * Pi2 * Pi1 * $x 0=$ [0.45800; 0.54200]
Carnegie Mellon University


## Markov Chains

- First order chain

$$
p(X)=p\left(x_{0}\right) \prod p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}\right)
$$

- Second order

$$
p(X)=p\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \prod_{i} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

## Markov Chains

- First order chain

$$
p(X)=p\left(x_{0}\right) \prod p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}\right)
$$

- Second order

$$
p(X)=p\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \prod p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

Mark Reid @mdreid
Markov In Chains \#MLBandNames
Collapse \& Reply $\boldsymbol{\text { LI Retweet }} \star$ Favorite $\bullet \bullet$ More

=

## Chains

$$
p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$




## Chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{i}\right)=p\left(x_{0} \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{(x+1 \mid x ; \theta)} \quad x 0 \rightarrow x 1 \rightarrow x(x) \rightarrow x
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chains

$$
p(x, \theta)=p\left(x_{0} \cdot \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{1}^{p\left(x_{1}+1\right.}\left(x_{;} \theta\right)
$$



$$
=\sum_{x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}}^{\sum_{:=l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}^{x_{0}}\left[l_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) p\left(x_{1} \mid x_{0}\right)\right] \prod_{j=2}^{n} p\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim}
$$

## Chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right) \quad \times 0 \rightarrow \times 2 \rightarrow \times 3 \\
& p\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{0}, \ldots x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}}^{\underbrace{p\left(x_{0}\right)}_{:=l_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} p\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right), ~} \\
& =\sum_{x_{1}, \ldots x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}}^{\sum_{:=l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)}^{\sum_{x_{0}}}\left[l_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) p\left(x_{1} \mid x_{0}\right)\right] \prod_{j=2}^{n} p\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right)} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \\
& =\sum_{x_{2}, \ldots x_{i-1}, x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}} \underbrace{\sum_{x_{1}}\left[l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right)\right] \prod_{j=3}^{n} p\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right), ~}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right) \quad \mathrm{x} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{X} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{x} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{x} 3 \\
& p\left(x_{i}\right)=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-1} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots, x_{n-1}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-2} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \underbrace{\sum_{x_{n}} p\left(x_{n} \mid x_{n-1}\right)}_{=r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)}
$$

$$
=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \cdots r_{n-2}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-3} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \underbrace{\sum_{x_{n-1}} p\left(x_{n-1} \mid x_{n-2}\right) r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)}
$$

## Chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right) \quad \mathrm{x} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{X} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{x} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{x} 3 \\
& p\left(x_{i}\right)=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-1} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots, x_{n-1}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-2} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \underbrace{\sum_{x_{n}} p\left(x_{n} \mid x_{n-1}\right)}_{i=r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)} \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{x}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n-2}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-3} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \\
\pi_{i}=\prod_{i}^{i} \Pi_{j-1 \rightarrow j} \pi_{0} \\
\sum_{:=r_{n-2}\left(x_{n-2}\right)}^{x_{n-1}} p\left(x_{n-1} \mid x_{n-2}\right) r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
j_{j=1}
$$

## Chains

$$
p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$

$$
x 0 \rightarrow x 1 \rightarrow x_{2}-x_{3}
$$

$$
n-1
$$

$$
p\left(x_{i}\right)=l_{i}(x
$$

$$
=l_{i}(x \text { not needed for directed graphs }
$$ that are already normalized ... but good to know ...



$$
\begin{aligned}
&=l_{i}(x x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n-2} \\
& \pi_{i}=\prod_{j=1}^{i} \\
& j=1 \rightarrow j \\
& \pi_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-1\left(x_{n-1}\right)
$$

## Chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{1 \ldots n-1} \mid x_{n} ; \theta\right)=p\left(x_{0} \mid \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right) \\
& p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{n}\right)=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n-1}} \prod_{j=i} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \\
& =l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n-1}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-2} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \underbrace{p\left(x_{n} \mid x_{n-1}\right)}_{:=r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)} \\
& =l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1} \ldots x_{n-2}} \prod_{j=i}^{n-3} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \sum_{:=r_{n-2}\left(x_{n-2}\right)}^{\sum_{x_{n-1}} p\left(x_{n-1} \mid x_{n-2}\right) r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chains

$$
p(x ; \theta)=p\left(x_{0} ; \theta\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right) \quad \mathrm{x} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{X} \mid \rightarrow \times 2 \rightarrow \times 3
$$

- Forward recursion

$$
l_{0}\left(x_{0}\right):=p\left(x_{0}\right) \text { and } l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right):=\sum_{x_{i-1}} l_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right) p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i-1}\right)
$$

- Backward recursion

$$
r_{n}\left(x_{n}\right):=1 \text { and } r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right):=\sum r_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}\right)
$$

- Marginalization \& conditioning ${ }^{x_{i+1}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{i}\right) & =l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
p\left(x_{-i} \mid x_{i}\right) & =\frac{p(x)}{p\left(x_{i}\right)} \\
p\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) & =l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) p\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}\right) r_{i}\left(x_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chains

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{i} & =\Pi_{i} l_{i-1} \\
r_{i} & =\Pi_{i}^{\top} r_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Send forward messages starting from left node

$$
m_{i-1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{i-1}} m_{i-2 \rightarrow i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right) f\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)
$$

- Send backward messages starting from right node

$$
m_{i+1 \rightarrow i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{i+1}} m_{i+2 \rightarrow i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) f\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
$$

# Example - inferring lunch 

current


- Initial probability

$$
p(x 0=t)=p(x 0=b)=0.5
$$

- Stationary transition matrix
- On fifth day observed at Tazza d'oro $p(x 5=t)=1$
- Distribution on day 3
- Left messages to 3
- Right messages to 3
- Renormalize


## Example - inferring lunch

current


```
> Pi = [0.9, 0.2; 0.1 0.8]
Pi =
            0.90000 0.20000
            0.10000 0.80000
> l1 = [0.5; 0.5];
> l3 = Pi * Pi * l1
13 =
            0.58500
            0.41500
> r5 = [1; 0];
> r3 = Pi' * Pi' * r5
    r3 =
            0.83000
            0.34000
> (13 .* r3) / sum(13 .* r3)
ans =
    0.77483
    0.22517
```


## Trees



- Forward/Backward messages as normal for chain - When we have more edges for a vertex use ...


## Trees
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## Trees
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## Trees



$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=\sum_{x_{0}} p\left(x_{0}\right) p\left(x_{1} \mid x_{0}\right) \\
& l_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\sum_{x_{1}} l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{7}\left(x_{7}\right)=\sum_{x_{8}} p\left(x_{8} \mid x_{7}\right) \\
& r_{6}\left(x_{6}\right)=\sum_{x_{7}} r_{7}\left(x_{7}\right) p\left(x_{7} \mid x_{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Trees
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r_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\sum_{x_{6}} r_{6}\left(x_{6}\right) p\left(x_{6} \mid x_{2}\right)
$$

## Trees
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## Junction Template

- Order of computation
- Dependence does not matter (only matters for parametrization)



## Trees



- Forward/Backward messages as normal for chain
- When we have more edges for a vertex use ...

$$
m_{2 \rightarrow 3}\left(x_{3}\right)=\sum_{x_{2}} m_{1 \rightarrow 2}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{6 \rightarrow 2}\left(x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
$$
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## Trees



- Joint distribution over latent state and observations
- To compute conditional probability we normalize

$$
p(x, z)=p(x) \prod_{i} p\left(z_{i} \mid x_{i}\right)=\prod_{i, j \in T} f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{i} g\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right)
$$

## Trees



## Summary

- Markov chains
- Present only depends on recent past
- Higher order - longer history.
- Dynamic programming
- Exponential if brute force.
- Linear in chain if we iterate.
- For junctions treat like chains but integrate signals from all sources.
- Exponential in the history size.




## Junction Trees

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) f\left(x_{2}, x_{4}\right)
$$
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## Junction Trees

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) f\left(x_{2}, x_{4}\right)
$$



$$
m_{i \rightarrow j}\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{x_{i}} f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \prod_{l \neq j} m_{l \rightarrow i}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

clique potential


## Junction Trees
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## Junction Trees




$$
m_{245 \rightarrow 234}\left(x_{24}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{45}\right)
$$

$=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457 \rightarrow+25\left(x_{55}\right)}$
clique separator set
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## Junction Trees



$$
=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{45}\right)
$$

## separator
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$m_{245 \rightarrow 234}\left(x_{24}\right)$
clique
potential

## Junction Trees




$$
m_{245 \rightarrow 234}\left(x_{24}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{45}\right)
$$

$=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457}+245\left(x_{55}\right)}$
clique separator set
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## Junction Trees




$$
m_{245 \rightarrow 234}\left(x_{24}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457 \rightarrow 245}\left(x_{45}\right)
$$

$=\sum_{x_{5}} f\left(x_{245}\right) m_{12 \rightarrow 245\left(x_{2}\right) m_{457}+245\left(x_{55}\right)}$
clique separator set
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## Caution
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## Caution



This is not a tree

## Caution



This is not a tree

## Graph triangulation
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## Graph triangulation
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## Graph triangulation
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## Graph triangulation



Separator set increases
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## Graph triangulation



Separator set increasces
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## Graph triangulation



Separator set increaseses
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## Graph triangulation



## Separator set increases
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## Update equations



$$
p\left(x_{B}\right) \propto f\left(x_{B}\right) \prod_{A \sim B} m_{A \rightarrow B}\left(x_{A \cap B}\right)
$$

## Update equations
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p\left(x_{B}\right) \propto f\left(x_{B}\right) \prod_{A \sim B} m_{A \rightarrow B}\left(x_{A \cap B}\right)
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## Update equations



$$
p\left(x_{B}\right) \propto f\left(x_{B}\right) \prod_{A \sim B} m_{A \rightarrow B}\left(x_{A \cap B}\right)
$$

## Update equations



$$
p\left(x_{B}\right) \propto f\left(x_{B}\right) \prod_{A \sim B} m_{A \rightarrow B}\left(x_{A \cap B}\right)
$$

## 2D grid

- Nontrivial to generate junction tree (problem clumps together)

images
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## 3D grid


movies, CAT scans
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## 3D grid


movies, CAT scans
Carnegie Mellon University

## Summary

- (Directed) graphical model
- Build clique graph
- Luck if it's a tree
- If not, need to add edges to make it a tree
- Tree width increases
- In many realistic cases exact inference is not possible - need approximation techniques.
- Same operations as for tree. Just now with more variables



## Recall - dynamic programming

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{i} \mid x_{n}\right)=l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1}, x_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \sum_{x_{i+1}, \ldots, r_{n-2}} \prod_{j=i} p\left(x_{j+1} \mid x_{j}\right) \underbrace{\sum_{x_{n-1}} p\left(x_{n-1} \mid x_{n-2}\right) r_{n-1}\left(x_{n-1}\right)}_{:=r_{n-2}\left(x_{n-2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The reason for efficient computation is the fact that we can swap multiplication and addition.
- Are there other such pairs?


## Generalized Distributive Law

- Dynamic programming uses only additions and multiplications,
- Replace them with equivalent operations from other semirings
- Semiring
- 'addition' and 'summation' equivalent
- Associative law $(a+b)+c=a+(b+c)$
- Distributive law

$$
a(b+c)=a b+a c
$$

## Generalized Distributive Law

- Integrating out probabilities (sum, product)

$$
a \cdot(b+c)=a \cdot b+a \cdot c
$$

- Finding the maximum (max, +)

$$
a+\max (b, c)=\max (a+b, a+c)
$$

- Set algebra (union, intersection)

$$
A \cup(B \cap C)=(A \cup B) \cap(A \cup C)
$$

- Boolean semiring (AND, OR)
- Probability semiring (log +, +)
- Tropical semiring (min, +)


## Chains ... again

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{s} & =\max _{x} s\left(x_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s\left(x_{i+1} \mid x_{i}\right) \\
\bar{s} & =\max _{x_{0} \ldots n} \underbrace{s\left(x_{0}\right)}_{:=l_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} s\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right) \\
& =\max _{x_{1} \ldots n}^{\max _{x_{0}}\left[l_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) s\left(x_{1} \mid x_{0}\right)\right]}+\underbrace{n}_{:=l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)} s\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right) \\
& =\max _{x_{2} \ldots n}^{\max _{x_{1}}\left[l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) s\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right)\right]}+\sum_{j=2}^{n} s\left(x_{j} \mid x_{j-1}\right)
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \times \rightarrow
$$

## Junction Trees



$$
m_{i \rightarrow j}\left(x_{j}\right)=\max _{x_{i}} f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)+\sum_{l \neq j} m_{l \rightarrow i}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

clique potential

## Junction Trees
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## No loops allowed

$$
s\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+s\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+s\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+s\left(x_{4}, x_{1}\right)
$$



Often use it anyway --- Loopy Belief Propagation (Turbo Codes, Markov Random Fields, etc.)
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## No loops allowed

$$
s\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+s\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+s\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+s\left(x_{4}, x_{1}\right)
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Often use it anyway --- Loopy Belief Propagation (Turbo Codes, Markov Random Fields, etc.)

## No loops allowed

$$
s\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+s\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+s\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)+s\left(x_{4}, x_{1}\right)
$$

$$
s\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+s\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)
$$

$s\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+s\left(x_{4}, x_{1}\right)$

Often use it anyway --- Loopy Belief Propagation (Turbo Codes, Markov Random Fields, etc.)

MACHINE LEARNING DEPARTMENT

### 7.3 Practical Inference 7 Graphical Models

## Alexander Smola

 Introduction to Machine Learning 10-701 http://alex.smola.org/teaching/10-701-15

Clustering
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## Density Estimation



$$
p(X \mid \theta)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} p\left(x_{i} \mid \theta\right)
$$

- Draw latent parameter $\Theta$
- For all i draw observed $x_{i}$ given $\Theta$
- What if the basic model doesn't fit all data?


## One size doesn't fit all



## One size doesn't fit all



## One size doesn't fit all



## One size doesn't fit all



$$
p(X, Y \mid \theta, \sigma, \mu)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}, \sigma, \mu\right) p\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right)
$$
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## What can we cluster?
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## What can we cluster?

## mails

## users

## queries

# locations 

spammers
abuse
ads
events

## Mixture of Gaussians

- Draw cluster ID y from discrete distribution
- Draw data x from Gaussian for cluster y
- Prior for discrete distribution - Dirichlet
- Prior for Gaussians - Gauss-Wishart
- Problem: we don’t know y

- If we knew the parameters we could get y

$$
p(y \mid x, \theta) \propto p(x \mid y, \theta) p(y \mid \theta)
$$

- If we knew y we could get the parameters (estimate normal distribution)


## k-means

- Fixed uniform variance for all Gaussians
- Fixed uniform distribution over clusters
- Initialize centers with random subset of points
- Find most likely cluster y for x (ignores p(y) ...)

$$
y_{i}=\underset{y}{\operatorname{argmax}} p\left(x_{i} \mid y, \sigma, \mu\right)
$$

- Find most likely center for given cluster

$$
\mu_{y}=\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i}\left\{y_{i}=y\right\} x_{i}
$$

- Repeat until converged


## k-means

- Pro
- simple algorithm
- can be implemented by MapReduce passes
- Con
- no proper probabilistic representation
- can get stuck easily in local minima


## k-means

## partitioning


initialization
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## k-means

partitioning

update

## partitioning




## Bayesian Inference

- Complete bipartite graph of dependence between y and the model parameters.
- Cannot generate a thin junction tree.
- Exact inference is impossible.
- We need approximations
huge messages


## Loopy belief propagation

- Don't worry about junction tree
- Just send messages between vertices
- Still expensive for high degree vertices such as clusters
- Exact messages and potentials are too complicated

$$
\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{y_{i} \rightarrow \theta}(\theta)\right] \cdot \psi(\theta)
$$

## Maximum a posteriori

- Approximate integral by mode of distribution
- Easy (see k-means)
- OK for unimodal distribution
- Misses out on large modes
- Can get stuck in local maxima


## Sampling

- Sample subset of variables while keeping the rest fixed
- Iterate until converged
- Draw several samples
- Gibbs sampler

Draw one group at a time and iterate

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i} & \sim p\left(y_{i} \mid X, Y^{-i}, \theta, \mu, \Sigma\right) \\
\theta & \sim p(\theta \mid X, Y, \mu, \Sigma) \\
(\mu, \Sigma) & \sim p(\mu, \Sigma \mid X, \theta, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Variational Inference

- Approximate graphical model by simpler one

$$
q(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{m} q\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} q\left(\mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j}\right)
$$

- Minimize 'distance’ between models
- Often methods are combined into hybrid approach



## Variational Inference

- Approximate graphical model by simpler one

$$
q(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^{m} q\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right) \prod_{j=1}^{k} q\left(\mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j}\right)
$$



- Minimize 'distance’ between models
- Often methods are combined into hybrid approach

$\underset{\gamma}{\operatorname{minimize}} D\left(q_{\gamma}(Y, \theta, \mu, \Sigma) \mid p(Y, \theta, \mu, \Sigma \mid X)\right)$


## Variational Inference and EM
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## Nonconvex Optimization

- Optimization Problem

Find the parameters (clusters, probabilities) for the mixture of Gaussians problem

$$
\underset{\theta, \mu, \sigma}{\operatorname{maximize}} p(X \mid \theta, \sigma, \mu)=\underset{\theta, \mu, \sigma}{\operatorname{maximize}} \sum_{Y} \prod_{i=1}^{n} p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}, \sigma, \mu\right) p\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right)
$$

This problem is nonconvex and difficult to solve

- Key idea

If we knew $p(y \mid x)$ we could estimate the remaining parameters easily and vice versa

## DC Programming



- Find convex upper bound
- Minimize it


## Expectation Maximization
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## Expectation Maximization

- Variational Bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log p(x ; \theta) & \geq \log p(x ; \theta)-D(q(y) \| p(y \mid x ; \theta)) \\
& =\int d q(y)[\log p(x ; \theta)+\log p(y \mid x ; \theta)-\log q(y)] \\
& =\int d q(y) \log p(x, y ; \theta)-\int d q(y) \log q(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Expectation Maximization

- Variational Bound
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\begin{aligned}
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&=\int d q(y) \log p(x, y ; \theta)-\int d q(y) \log q(y) \\
& q(y)=p(y \mid x ; \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$
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\end{aligned}
$$
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## Expectation Maximization

- Variational Bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log p(x ; \theta) & \geq \log p(x ; \theta)-D(q(y) \| p(y \mid x ; \theta)) \\
& =\int d q(y)[\log p(x ; \theta)+\log p(y \mid x ; \theta)-\log q(y)] \\
& =\int d q(y) \log p(x, y ; \theta)-\int d q(y) \log q(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- This inequality is tight for $p(y \mid x)=q(y)$
- Expectation step

$$
q(y)=p(y \mid x ; \theta)
$$

- Maximization step

$$
\theta^{*}=\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \int d q(y) \log p(x, y ; \theta)
$$

## Expectation Step

- Factorizing distribution
- E-Step

$$
q(Y)=\prod_{i} q_{i}(y)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{i}(y) & \propto p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}, \mu, \sigma\right) p\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right) \text { hence } \\
m_{i y} & :=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}\left|\Sigma_{y}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{y}\right) \Sigma_{y}^{-1}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{y}\right)\right] p(y) \\
q_{i}(y) & =\frac{m_{i y}}{\sum_{y^{\prime}} m_{i y^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maximization Step

- Log-likelihood

$$
\log p(X, Y \mid \theta, \mu, \sigma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}, \mu, \sigma\right)+\log p\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right)
$$

- Cluster distribution (weighted Gaussian MLE)

$$
n_{y}=\sum_{i} q_{i}(y)
$$

- Cluster probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{y} & =\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(y) x_{i} \\
\Sigma_{y} & =\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(y) x_{i} x_{i}^{\top}-\mu_{y} \mu_{y}^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\theta^{*}=\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{y} q_{i}(y) \log p\left(y_{i} \mid \theta\right) \text { hence } p(y \mid \theta)=\frac{n_{y}}{n}
$$

## EM Clustering in action




Carnegie Mellon University

## Problem

## Estimates will diverge

(infinite variance, zero probability, tiny clusters)

Carnegie Mellon University

## Solution

- Use priors for
- Dirichlet distribution for cluster probabilities
- Gauss-Wishart for Gaussian
- Cluster distribution
$\mu_{y}=\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(y) x_{i}$
$n_{y}=n_{0}+\sum_{i} q_{i}(y) \quad \Sigma_{y}=\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(y) x_{i} x_{i}^{\top}+\frac{n_{0}}{n_{y}} \mathbf{1}-\mu_{y} \mu_{y}^{\top}$
- Cluster probabilities

$$
p(y \mid \theta)=\frac{n_{y}}{n+k \cdot n_{0}}
$$



## Is maximization (always) good?



## Sampling

- Key idea
- Want accurate distribution of the posterior
- Sample from posterior distribution rather than maximizing it
- Problem - direct sampling is usually intractable
- Solutions
- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (complicated)
- Gibbs Sampling (somewhat simpler)

$$
x \sim p\left(x \mid x^{\prime}\right) \text { and then } x^{\prime} \sim p\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)
$$

## Gibbs sampling

- Gibbs sampling:
- In most cases direct sampling not possible
- Draw one set of variables at a time
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## Gibbs sampling

- Gibbs sampling:
- In most cases direct sampling not possible
- Draw one set of variables at a time


$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{~g}) \text { - draw } \mathrm{p}(., \mathrm{g}) \\
& (\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{~g}) \text { - draw } \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~g}, .) \\
& (\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{~g}) \text { - draw } \mathrm{p}(., \mathrm{g})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gibbs sampling

- Gibbs sampling:
- In most cases direct sampling not possible
- Draw one set of variables at a time


$$
\begin{aligned}
& (b, g)-\text { draw } p(., g) \\
& (g, g)-\text { draw } p(g, .) \\
& (g, g) \text { - draw } p(., g) \\
& (b, g) \text { - draw } p(b, .)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gibbs sampling

- Gibbs sampling:
- In most cases direct sampling not possible
- Draw one set of variables at a time

(b,g) - draw p(.,g)
$(g, g)$ - draw $p(g,$.
(g,g) - draw p(.,g)
(b,g) - draw p(b,.)
(b,b) ...


## Gibbs sampling for clustering
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## Gibbs sampling for clustering
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## Gibbs sampling for clustering


cluster labels
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## Gibbs sampling for clustering


resample cluster model
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## Gibbs sampling for clustering

## resample

cluster labels
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## Gibbs sampling for clustering


resample cluster model
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## Gibbs sampling for clustering


resample
cluster labels
Carnegie Mellon University

## Gibbs sampling for clustering


resample cluster model e.g. Mahout Dirichlet Process glustering

## Inference Algorithm $=$ Model

Corollary: EM $=$ Clustering ... but some algorithms and models are good match ...
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### 7.4 Models 7 Graphical Models

## Alexander Smola

 Introduction to Machine Learning 10-701 http://alex.smola.org/teaching/10-701-15

## Clustering and Hidden Markov Models



- Clustering - no dependence between observations
- Hidden Markov Model - dependence between states


## Applications



- Speech recognition (soundltext)
- Optical character recognition (writingltext)
- Gene finding (DNA sequencelgenes)
- Activity recognition (accelerometerlactivity)


## Inference



$$
p(x, y)=p\left(y_{1}\right)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} p\left(y_{i+1} \mid y_{i}\right) p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}\right)\right] p\left(x_{m} \mid y_{m}\right)
$$

- Summing over y possible via dynamic programming - Log-likelihood is nonconvex


## Variational Approximation

- Lower bound on log-likelihood

$$
\log p(x ; \theta) \geq \int d q(y) \log p(x, y ; \theta)-\int d q(y) \log q(y)
$$

- Inequality holds for any q
- Find $q$ within subset $Q$ to tighten inequality
- Find parameters to maximize for fixed q
- Inference for graphical models where joint probability computation is infeasible


## Variational Approximation



- Variational approximation via

$$
q(y)=q\left(y_{1}\right) \prod_{x=2}^{m} q\left(y_{i} \mid y_{i-1}\right)
$$



- Compute p(xly) via dynamic programming


## Variational Method

- Initialize parameters somehow
- Set $q(x)=p(x \mid y)$

Dynamic programming yields chain

- Maximizing the log-likelihod w.r.t. q

$$
\log p(x ; \theta) \geq \int d q(y) \log p(x, y ; \theta)-\int d q(y) \log q(y)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x, y)=p\left(y_{1}\right)\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} p\left(y_{i+1} \mid y_{i}\right) p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}\right)\right] p\left(x_{m} \mid y_{m}\right) \\
& q(\mathrm{y} 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parameter Estimation

$\mathbf{E}_{y \sim q}[\log p(x, y ; \theta)]=\mathbf{E}_{y_{1} \sim q} \log p\left(y_{1} ; \theta\right)+\sum_{i=1} \mathbf{E}_{y_{i} \sim q} \log p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i} ; \theta\right)$

$$
+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathbf{E}_{y_{i+1}, y_{i} \sim q} \log p\left(y_{i+1} \mid y_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$

- $p\left(y_{1}\right)$

Since we have $\mathbf{E}_{q\left(y_{1}\right)}\left[\log p\left(y_{1}\right)\right]$ set $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}\right)$

- p(xilyi)

Same as clustering e.g. for Gaussians

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{y} & =\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(y) x_{i} \\
\Sigma_{y} & =\frac{1}{n_{y}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}(y) x_{i} x_{i}^{\top}-\mu_{y} \mu_{y}^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parameter Estimation

$\mathbf{E}_{y \sim q}[\log p(x, y ; \theta)]=\mathbf{E}_{y_{1} \sim q} \log p\left(y_{1} ; \theta\right)+\sum_{i=1} \mathbf{E}_{y_{i} \sim q} \log p\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i} ; \theta\right)$

$$
+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \mathbf{E}_{y_{i+1}, y_{i} \sim q} \log p\left(y_{i+1} \mid y_{i} ; \theta\right)
$$

- Maximum likelihood estimate for p(y'ly)

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} q\left(y_{i+1}=a, y_{i}=b\right) \log p(a \mid b)
$$

$$
\text { hence } p(a \mid b)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} q\left(y_{i+1}=a, y_{i}=b\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} q\left(y_{i}=b\right)}
$$

## Smoothed Estimates

- Laplace prior on latent state distribution
- Uniform distribution over states
- Alternatively assume that state remains

$$
p(a \mid b)=\frac{n_{a \mid b}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} q\left(y_{i+1}=a, y_{i}=b\right)}{n_{b}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} q\left(y_{i}=b\right)}
$$

## transition

 smootheraggregate mass


Carnegie Mellon University



## Beyond mixtures


taxonomies
topics
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## 'Unsupervised' Models

## Density Estimation


webpages
news
users
ads
queries
images

## 'Unsupervised' Models



## 'Unsupervised' Models

## Density <br> Estimation



Factor
Analysis
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## 'Supervised' Models

## Classification Regression

spam filtering tiering crawling categorization bid estimation tagging
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## Chains

## Markov Chain
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## Chains

## Markov Chain



Hidden Markov Model Kalman Filter


## Collaborative Models
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## Collaborative Models

Collaborative Filtering


Carnegie Mellon University

## Collaborative Models

Collaborative Filtering

Current Webpage Ranking


Carnegie Mellon University

## Collaborative Models

Collaborative Filtering


Webpage Ranking


Carnegie Mellon University

## Collaborative Models
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## Collaborative Models
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## Collaborative Models

Collaborative
Filtering
no obvious features

massive
feature engineering
Webpage Ranking


## Data Integration
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## Data Integration
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## Data Integration



## Topic Models
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## Topic Models

## Topic Models
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## Topic Models

## Topic Models

## Simplical Mixtures



## Topic Models

Topic Models

Simplical Mixtures


## Upstream Conditioning

Downstream Conditioning
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### 7.5 Undirected Graphical Models 7 Graphical Models

## Alexander Smola

 Introduction to Machine Learning 10-701 http://alex.smola.org/teaching/10-701-15

## Blunting the arrows

Carnegie Mellon University

## Chicken and Egg
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## Chicken and Egg
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## Chicken and Egg


encode the correlation via the clique potential between cand e $p(c, e) \propto \exp \psi(c, e)$

## Chicken and Egg



## Chicken and Egg

$$
p(c, e)=\frac{\exp \psi(c, e)}{\sum_{c^{\prime}, e^{\prime}} \exp \psi\left(c^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right)}
$$

$$
=\exp [\psi(c, e)-g(\psi)] \text { where } g(\psi)=\log \sum_{c, e} \exp \psi(c, e)
$$

we know that chicken and egg are correlated

## ... some web service
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## ... some web service



$$
\begin{gathered}
p(w \mid m, a) p(m) p(a) \\
m \not \Perp \perp \mid w
\end{gathered}
$$

## ... some web service



$p(m, w, a) \propto \phi(m, w) \phi(w, a)$ $m \Perp a \mid w$

## easier <br> "modeling"

## Undirected Graphical Models



Key Concept
Observing nodes makes remainder conditionally independent
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## Undirected Graphical Models



Key Concept
Observing nodes makes remainder conditionally independent

## Cliques


ie Mellon University

## Cliques



## Cliques



# maximal fully connected subgraph 
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## Cliques

## maximal fully connected subgraph

Carnegie Mellon University

## Hammersley Clifford Theorem

If density has full support then it decomposes into products of clique potentials

$$
p(x)=\prod_{c} \psi_{c}\left(x_{c}\right)
$$

## Directed vs. <br> Undirected

- Causal description
- Normalization automatic
- Intuitive
- Requires knowledge of dependencies
- Conditional independence tricky (Bayes Ball algorithm)
- Noncausal description (correlation only)
- Intuitive
- Easy modeling
- Normalization difficult
- Conditional independence easy to read off (graph connectivity)


Carnegie Mellon University

## Exponential Family Recap

- Density function

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x ; \theta) & =\exp (\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle-g(\theta)) \\
\text { where } g(\theta) & =\log \sum_{x^{\prime}} \exp \left(\left\langle\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right), \theta\right\rangle\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Log partition function generates cumulants

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\theta} g(\theta) & =\mathbf{E}[\phi(x)] \\
\partial_{\theta}^{2} g(\theta) & =\operatorname{Var}[\phi(x)]
\end{aligned}
$$

- g is convex (second derivative is p.s.d.)


## Log Partition Function

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x \mid \theta) & =e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle-g(\theta)} \quad \quad \text { Unconditional mod } \\
g(\theta) & =\log \sum_{x} e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle} \\
\partial_{\theta} g(\theta) & =\frac{\sum_{x} \phi(x) e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}{\sum_{x} e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}=\sum_{x} \phi(x) e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle-g(\theta)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p(y \mid \theta, x)=e^{\langle\phi(x, y), \theta\rangle-g(\theta \mid x)}
$$

Conditional model

$$
g(\theta \mid x)=\log \sum_{y} e^{\langle\phi(x, y), \theta\rangle}
$$

$$
\partial_{\theta} g(\theta \mid x)=\frac{\sum_{y} \phi(x, y) e^{\langle\phi(x, y), \theta\rangle}}{\sum_{y} e^{\langle\phi(x, y), \theta\rangle}}=\sum_{y} \begin{gathered}
\phi(x, y) e^{\langle\phi(x, y), \theta\rangle-g(\theta \mid x)} \\
\text { Carnegie Mellon University }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Estimation

- Conditional log-likelihood
$\log p(y \mid x ; \theta)=\langle\phi(x, y), \theta\rangle-g(\theta \mid x)$
- Log-posterior (Gaussian Prior)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log p(\theta \mid X, Y) & =\sum_{i} \log \left(y_{i} \mid x_{i} ; \theta\right)+\log p(\theta)+\text { const. } \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i} \phi\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right), \theta\right\rangle-\sum_{i} g\left(\theta \mid x_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\|\theta\|^{2}+\text { const. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- First order optimality conditions


## Logistic Regression

- Label space
$\phi(x, y)=y \phi(x)$ where $y \in\{ \pm 1\}$
- Log-partition function
$g(\theta \mid x)=\log \left[e^{1 \cdot\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}+e^{-1 \cdot\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}\right]=\log 2 \cosh \langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle$
- Convex minimization problem

$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{minimize}} \frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\|\theta\|^{2}+\sum_{i} \log 2 \cosh \left\langle\phi\left(x_{i}\right), \theta\right\rangle-y_{i}\left\langle\phi\left(x_{i}, \theta\right\rangle\right.$
- Prediction

$$
p(y \mid x, \theta)=\frac{e^{y\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}{e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}+e^{-\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-2 y\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}
$$

## Logistic Regression

- Label space
$\phi(x, y)=y \phi(x)$ where $y \in\{ \pm 1\}$
- Log-partition function
$g(\theta \mid x)=\log \left[e^{1 \cdot\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}+e^{-1 \cdot\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}\right]=\log 2 \cosh \langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle$
- Convex minimization problem $\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{minimize}} \frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\|\theta\|^{2}+\sum_{i} \log 2 \cosh \left\langle\phi\left(x_{i}\right), \theta\right\rangle-y_{i}\left\langle\phi\left(x_{i}, \theta\right\rangle\right.$
- Prediction


## GP Classification

$$
p(y \mid x, \theta)=\frac{e^{y\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}{e^{\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}+e^{-\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}=\frac{1}{1+e^{-2 y\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle}}
$$

## Exponential Clique Decomposition

$$
p(x)=\prod_{c} \psi_{c}\left(x_{c}\right)
$$

Theorem: Clique decomposition holds in sufficient statistics

$$
\phi(x)=\left(\ldots, \phi_{c}\left(x_{c}\right), \ldots\right) \text { and }\langle\phi(x), \theta\rangle=\sum_{c}\left\langle\phi_{c}\left(x_{c}\right), \theta_{c}\right\rangle
$$

Corollary: we only need expectations on cliques

$$
\mathbf{E}_{x}[\phi(x)]=\left(\ldots, \mathbf{E}_{x_{c}}\left[\phi_{c}\left(x_{c}\right)\right], \ldots\right)
$$

Carnegie Mellon University

## Conditional Random Fields



## Conditional Random Fields

- Compute distribution over marginal and adjacent labels
- Take conditional expectations
- Take update step (batch or online)
- More general techniques for computing normalization via message passing ...



## Examples
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## Chains

$$
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## Chains

$$
p(x)=\prod \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
$$



$$
p(x, y)=\prod \psi_{i}^{x}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \psi_{i}^{x y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

Carnegie Mellon University

## Chains



$$
P(x)=\prod_{i} \psi_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
$$



$$
p(x, y)=\prod \psi_{i}^{x}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \psi_{i}^{x y}\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

Carnegie Mellon University

## Chains

## Dynamic Programming

$$
=: f_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=1 \text { and } l_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right)=\sum_{x_{i}} l_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) f_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \\
& r_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=1 \text { and } r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\sum_{x_{i+1}} r_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}\right) f_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Named Entity Tagging
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## Trees + Ontologies



- Ontology classification (e.g. YDir, DMOZ)

Carnegie Mellon University

## Spin Glasses + Images



## observed pixels

 real image$$
p(x \mid y)=\prod \psi^{\mathrm{right}}\left(x_{i j}, x_{i+1, j}\right) \psi^{\mathrm{up}}\left(x_{i j}, x_{i, j+1}\right) \psi^{x y}\left(x_{i j}, y_{i j}\right)
$$

Carnegie Mellon University

## Spin Glasses + Images


observed pixels real image

## long range interactions

$$
p(x \mid y)=\prod_{i j} \psi^{\mathrm{right}}\left(x_{i j}, x_{i+1, j}\right) \psi^{\mathrm{up}}\left(x_{i j}, x_{i, j+1}\right) \psi^{x y}\left(x_{i j}, y_{i j}\right)
$$

Carnegie Mellon University

## Image Denoising



Li\&Huttenlocher, ECCV'08
Carnegie Mellon University

## Semi-Markov Models


phrase segmentation, activity recognition, motion data analysis Shi, Smola, Altun, Vishwanathan, Li, 2007-2009

Carnegie Mellon University

## 2D CRF for Webpages


web page information extraction, segmentation, annotation Bo, Zhu, Nie, Wen, Hon, 2005-2007

Carnegie Mellon University

## Summary

- Directed Graphical Models
- Dependence
- Inference for fully observed models
- Incomplete information / variational and sampling inference
- Undirected Graphical Models
- Hammersley Clifford decomposition
- Conditional independence
- Junction trees
- Dynamic Programming
- Generalized Distributive Law
- Naive Message Passing
- Inference techniques
- Sampling (Gibbs and Monte Carlo)
- Variational methods (EM, extensions)

