## Kernel Properties - Convexity

Leila Wehbe

October 1st 2013

Leila Wehbe Kernel Properties - Convexity

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

## **Kernel Properties**

- data is not linearly separable  $\rightarrow$  use feature vector of the data  $\Phi(x)$  in another space
- we can even use infinite feature vectors
- because of the Kernel trick you will not have to explicitly compute the feature vectors Φ(x). (you will Kernelize an algorithms in HW2).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

3

## Kernels

- dot product in feature space  $k(x, x') = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle$
- we can write the kernel in matrix form over the data sample:  $K_{ij} = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle = k(x, x')$ . This is called a Gram matrix.
- *K* is positive semi-definite, i.e.  $\alpha K \alpha \ge 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and all kernel matrices  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ . Proof (from class):

$$\sum_{i,j}^{m} \alpha_i \alpha_j K_{ij} = \sum_{i,j}^{m} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \Phi(x_i), \Phi(x_j) \rangle$$
$$= \langle \sum_{i}^{m} \alpha_i \Phi(x_i), \sum_{j}^{m} \alpha_j \Phi(x_j) \rangle = ||\sum_{i}^{m} \alpha_i \Phi(x_i)||^2 \ge 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

by mercer's theorem, any symmetric, square integrable function k : X × X → ℝ that satisfies

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}} k(x,x')f(x)f(x')dxdx' \ge 0$$

there exist a feature space  $\Phi(x)$  and a  $\lambda \ge 0$  $k(x, x') = \sum_i \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(x')$  ( we have  $k(x, x') = \langle \Phi'(x), \Phi'(x') \rangle$ )

• in discrete space:  $\sum_{i} \sum_{j} K(x_i, x_j) c_i c_j$ 

any Gram matrix derived of a kernel k is positive semi definite  $\leftrightarrow k$  is a valid kernel (dot product)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日



## k(x, x') is a valid kernel

• show that f(x)f(x')k(x,x') is a kernel

Leila Wehbe Kernel Properties - Convexity

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

#### Answer:

# $$\begin{split} f(x)f(y)k(x,y) &= f(x)f(y) < \phi(x), \phi(y) > = < f(x)\phi(x), f(y)\phi(y) > \\ &= < \phi'(x), \phi'(y) > \end{split}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで



#### $k_1(x, x'), k_2(x, x')$ are valid kernels

• show that  $c_1 * k_1(x, x') + c_2 * k_2(x, x')$ , where  $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$  is a valid Kernel (multiple ways to show it)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Answer 1: For any function f(.):

$$\int_{x,x'} f(x)f(x')[c_1k_1(x,x') + c_2k_2(x,x')] \, dx \, dx'$$
  
=  $c_1 \int_{x,x'} f(x)f(x')k_1(x,x') \, dx \, dx' + c_2 \int_{x,x'} f(x)f(x')k_2(x,x') \, dx \, dx' \ge 0$ 

since  $\int_{x,x'} f(x)f(x')k_1(x,x') dx dx' \ge 0$  and  $\int_{x,x'} f(x)f(x')k_2(x,x') dx dx' \ge 0$  since  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are valid kernels.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Answer 2:

Here is another way to prove it:

- Given any final set of instances  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , let  $K_1$  (resp.,  $K_2$ ) be the  $n \times n$  Gram matrix associated with  $k_1$  (resp.,  $k_2$ ). The Gram matrix associated with  $c_1k_1 + c_2k_2$  is just  $K = c_1K_1 + c_2K_2$ .
- K is PSD because any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v^T(c_1K_1 + c_2K_2)v = c_1(v^TK_1v) + c_2(v^TK_2v) \ge 0$  as  $v^TK_1v \ge 0$  and  $v^TK_2v \ge 0$  follows from  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  being positive semi definite.
- k is a valid kernel.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Answer 3:

let  $\Phi^1$  and  $\Phi^2$  be the feature vectors associated with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively.

Take vector  $\Phi$  which is the concatenation of  $\sqrt{c_1}\Phi^1$  and  $\sqrt{c_2}\Phi^2$ . i.e.  $\Phi(x) = [\sqrt{c_1}\phi_1^1(x), \sqrt{c_1}\phi_2^1(x), \dots, \sqrt{c_1}\phi_m^1(x), \sqrt{c_2}\phi_1^2(x), \sqrt{c_2}\phi_2^2(x), \dots, \sqrt{c_2}\phi_m^2(x)]$ . It's easy to check that

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(x') \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_i(x) \times \phi_i(x') = c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_i^1(x) \times \phi_i^1(x') \\ &= c_1 \langle \Phi^1(x), \Phi^1(x') \rangle + c_2 \langle \Phi^2(x), \Phi^2(x') \rangle \\ &= c_1 k_1(x, x') + c_2 k_2(x, x') = k(x, x') \end{split}$$

therefore k is a valid kernel.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

= 990



#### $k_1, k_2$ are valid kernels

• show that  $k_1(x, x') - k_2(x, x')$  is not necessarily a kernel

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Proof by counter example:

Consider the kernel  $k_1$  being the identity ( $k_1(x, x') = 1$  iff x = x' and = 0 otherwise), and  $k_2$  being twice the identity ( $k_1(x, x') = 2$  iff x = x' and = 0 otherwise).

Let  $K_1 = I_p$  be the  $p \times p$  identity matrix and  $K_p = 2I_p$  be 2 times that identity matrix.  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the Gram matrices associated with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively. Clearly both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ are positive semi definite, however  $K_1 - K_2 = -I$  is not, as its eigenvalues are -1.

Therefore k is not a valid kernel.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ



#### PSD matrices A and B

show that AB is not necessarily PSD



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

for PSD matrices *A* and *B*, it suffices to show that *AB* is not symmetric – so just use  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ; here  $AB = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$  which is not symmetric.

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ● 三 ● ● ● ●



- $k_1, k_2$  are valid kernels
  - show that the element wise product  $k(x_i, x_j) = k_1(x_i, x_j) \times k_2(x_i, x_j)$  is a valid kernel.
  - start by showing that if matrices A and B are PSD, then  $C_{ij} = A_{ij} \times B_{ij}$  is PSD

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Answer: First show that *C* s.t.  $C_{ij} = A_{ij} \times B_{ij}$  is PSD: One way to show it:

 Any PSD matrix *Q* is a covariance matrix. To see this, think of a p-dimensional random variable x with a covariance matrix I<sub>p</sub>, the identity matrix. (*Q* is *p* × *p*) Because *Q* is PSD it admits a non-negative symmetric square root Q<sup>1/2</sup>. Then:

$$cov(Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{x}) = Q^{\frac{1}{2}}cov(\mathbf{x}))Q^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{I}Q^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q$$

And therefore Q is a covariance matrix.

We also know that any covariance matrix is PSD. So given A and B PSD, we know that they are covariance matrices. We want to show that C is also a covariance matrix and therefore PSD.

3 Let 
$$u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)^T \sim N(0_p, A)$$
 and  
 $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)^T \sim N(0_p, B)$  where  $0 + p$  is a p-dimensional  
vector of zeros  
Define the vector  $w = (u_1v_1, \ldots, u_nv_n)^T$   
3

$$cov(w) = E[(w - \mu^w)(w - \mu^w)^T] = E[ww^T]$$

This is because  $\mu_i^w = 0$  for all *i*. This is because *u* and *v* are independent so  $\mu^w = \mu^u \times \mu^v = 0_p$ 

$$cov(w)_{i,j} = E[w_i w_j^T] = E[(u_i v_i)(u_j v_j)] = E[(u_i u_j)(v_i v_j)]$$
$$= E[u_i u_j] E[v_i v_j]$$

This is again because u and v are independent.

$$cov(w)_{i,j} = E[u_i u_j] E[v_i v_j] = A_{i,j} \times B_{i,j} = C_{i,j}$$



- Therefore C is a covariance matrix and therefore PSD
- Since any kernel matrix created from  $k(x_i, x_j) = k_1(x_i, x_j) \times k_2(x_i, x_j)$  is PSD, then *k* is PSD.



#### A is PSD

• show that A<sup>m</sup> is PSD



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Answer: Recall  $A = UDU^T$ First we show that  $A^m = UD^m U^T$ . Proof by induction:

• trivially true for m = 1.

• 
$$A^{m+1} = AA^m = UDU^T(UD^mU^T) = UD(U^TU)D^mU^T = UDD^mU^T = UDD^mU^T = UD^{m+1}U^T$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of  $A^m$  are the diagonal elements of  $D^m$ , which are  $\lambda_i^m$  (where  $\{\lambda_i\}$  are the diagonal elements of D). Since  $\lambda_i \ge 0$ , these eigenvalues  $\lambda_i^m$  are also  $\ge 0$ . This means  $A^m$  is PSD.

< ∃ > \_ \_



#### k(x, x') is a valid kernel

• show that 
$$k(x, y)^2 \le k(x, x)k(y, y)$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

#### Answer:

$$\begin{split} k(x,y)^2 &= <\phi(x), \phi(y) >^2 = ||\phi(x)||^2 ||\phi(y)||^2 (\cos(\theta_{\phi(x),\phi(y)}))^2 \\ &\leq ||\phi(x)||^2 ||\phi(y)||^2 = k(x,x)k(y,y) \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - 釣A@

## Introduction to Convex Optimization

#### Xuezhi Wang

Computer Science Department Carnegie Mellon University

10701-recitation, Jan 29

Introduction to Convex Optimization

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

3

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization

## Outline



- Convex Sets
- Convex Functions

## 2 Unconstrained Convex Optimization

- First-order Methods
- Newton's Method

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

3

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization Convex Sets Convex Functions

## Outline



2 Unconstrained Convex Optimization

- First-order Methods
- Newton's Method

Introduction to Convex Optimization

・ロ・ ・ 同・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・

= 900

## **Convex Sets**

Definition

For  $x, x' \in X$  it follows that  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)x' \in X$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ 

- Examples
  - Empty set  $\emptyset$ , single point  $\{x_0\}$ , the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$
  - Hyperplane:  $\{x \mid a^{\top}x = b\}$ , halfspaces  $\{x \mid a^{\top}x \le b\}$
  - Euclidean balls:  $\{x \mid ||x x_c||_2 \leq r\}$
  - Positive semidefinite matrices: S<sup>n</sup><sub>+</sub> = {A ∈ S<sup>n</sup> | A ≿ 0} (S<sup>n</sup> is the set of symmetric n × n matrices)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

1

Convex Sets Convex Functions

## **Convexity Preserving Set Operations**

Convex Set C, D

- Translation  $\{x + b \mid x \in C\}$
- Scaling  $\{\lambda x \mid x \in C\}$
- Affine function  $\{Ax + b \mid x \in C\}$
- Intersection  $C \cap D$
- Set sum  $C + D = \{x + y \mid x \in C, y \in D\}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization

Outline



- Convex Functions
- 2 Unconstrained Convex Optimization
  - First-order Methods
  - Newton's Method

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

**Convex Functions** 

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization Constrained Optimization

Convex Sets Convex Functions

## **Convex Functions**



**dom** f is convex, 
$$\lambda \in [0, 1]$$
  
 $\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \ge f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$ 

• First-order condition: if f is differentiable,

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{\top}(y-x)$$

• Second-order condition: if f is twice differentiable,

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$$

Strictly convex: ∇<sup>2</sup>f(x) ≻ 0
 Strongly convex: ∇<sup>2</sup>f(x) ≥ dl with d > 0

> E = 990

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization Convex Sets Convex Functions

## **Convex Functions**

## A quick matrix calculus reference: http://www.ee.ic.ac. uk/hp/staff/dmb/matrix/calculus.html

Introduction to Convex Optimization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

## **Convex Functions**

- Below-set of a convex function is convex:  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ hence  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in X$  for  $x, y \in X$
- Convex functions don't have local minima: Proof by contradiction: linear interpolation breaks local minimum condition
- Convex Hull:

 $Conv(X) = \{ \bar{x} \mid \bar{x} = \sum \alpha_i x_i \text{ where } \alpha_i \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum \alpha_i = 1 \}$ Convex hull of a set is always a convex set

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

## **Convex Functions examples**

- Exponential.  $e^{ax}$  convex on  $\mathbb{R}$ , any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- Powers.  $x^a$  convex on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}$  when  $a \ge 1$  or  $a \le 0$ , and concave for  $0 \le a \le 1$ .
- Powers of absolute value. |x|<sup>ρ</sup> for p ≥ 1, convex on ℝ.
- Logarithm. log x concave on  $\mathbb{R}_{++}$ .
- Norms. Every norm on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is convex.
- $f(x) = \max\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$
- Log-sum-exp.  $f(x) = \log(e^{x_1} + ... + e^{x_n})$  convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

# **Convexity Preserving Function Operations**

Convex function f(x), g(x)

- Nonnegative weighted sum: af(x) + bg(x)
- Pointwise Maximum:  $f(x) = \max\{f_1(x), ..., f_m(x)\}$
- Composition with affine function: f(Ax + b)
- Composition with nondecreasing convex g: g(f(x))

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization

## Outline



- Convex Sets
- Convex Functions

## 2 Unconstrained Convex Optimization

- First-order Methods
- Newton's Method

Introduction to Convex Optimization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization Constrained Optimization

First-order Methods Newton's Method

## **Gradient Descent**



**given** a starting point  $x \in \text{dom} f$ .

#### repeat

- 1.  $\Delta x := -\nabla f(x)$
- 2. Choose step size t via exact or backtracking line search.
- 3. update.  $x := x + t\Delta x$ .

Until stopping criterion is satisfied.

- Key idea
  - Gradient points into descent direction
  - Locally gradient is good approximation of objective function
- Gradient Descent with line search
  - Get descent direction
  - Unconstrained line search
  - Exponential convergence for strongly convex objective

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへの

Convexity Unconstrained Convex Optimization

## Outline



- Convex Sets
- Convex Functions
- Unconstrained Convex Optimization
   First-order Methods
  - Newton's Method

Introduction to Convex Optimization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

## Newton's method

- Convex objective function f
- Nonnegative second derivative

$$\partial_x^2 f(x) \succeq 0$$

Taylor expansion

$$f(x+\delta) = f(x) + \delta^{\top} \partial_x f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\top} \partial_x^2 f(x) \delta + O(\delta^3)$$

• Minimize approximation & iterate til converged

$$x \leftarrow x - [\partial_x^2 f(x)]^{-1} \partial_x f(x)$$

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

ъ